Building Bridges, Closing Gaps in NASH Care: The Pivotal Role of Gastroenterologists

October 22, 2023 Vancouver, BC, Canada



This activity is supported by an independent educational grant from Madrigal Pharmaceuticals.



CHAIR:

Kimberly A. Brown, MD

Chief of the Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Associate Medical Director Henry Ford Hospital Transplant Institute Detroit, MI



FACULTY:

Alina M. Allen, MD

Associate Professor of Medicine Director of NAFLD Clinic Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Mayo Clinic Rochester, MN



FACULTY:

Mazen Noureddin, MD

Professor of Clinical Medicine Lynda K. and David M. Underwood Center for Digestive Disorders Department of Medicine Sherrie & Alan Conover Center for Liver Disease & Transplantation Houston Methodist Research Institute Houston Methodist Hospital Houston, TX



Disclosures of Conflicts of Interest

FACULTY:

Kimberly A. Brown, MD

Advisory role: Madrigal Pharmaceuticals, Intercept, Salix, Gilead, Mallinckrodt

Consultant: Abbvie

Research funding: Salix, Eurofins

Honoraria: CLDF, Gilead, Mallinckrodt, Salix, Intercept, Madrigal Pharmaceuticals

Editorial Board: Liver Transplantation

Board Membership: AASLD Foundation Board

Mazen Noureddin, MD

Advisory board: Altimmune, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Cytodyn, 89BIO, GSK, Madrigal Pharmaceuticals, Merck, Novo Nordisk, Perspectum, Terns, Takeda

Principal investigator for a drug study: Allergan, Akero, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Gilead, Galectin, Genfit, GSK, Conatus, Corcept, Enanta, Madrigal Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Shire, Takeda, Terns, Viking, Zydus

Stockholder: Rivus Pharma, CIMA, Cytodyn, ChronWell

FACULTY:

Alina M. Allen, MD

Consulting Fees: Novo Nordisk

Research: National Institutes of Health (NIH), Novo Nordisk, Target Pharma

Reviewers/Content Planners/Authors:

Cindy Davidson has nothing to disclose.

Elizabeth Lurwick has nothing to disclose.

John Maeglin has nothing to disclose.

Andrea Mathis has nothing to disclose.

Tim Person has nothing to disclose.

Colleen Resnick has nothing to disclose.

Susan Smith, MN, PhD, has ownership interest in Hepion Pharmaceuticals.



Learning Objectives

- 1. Determine the next step for a patient being evaluated for NAFLD based on their FIB-4 score
- 2. Determine if a patient with a given transient elastography score is at risk for clinically significant fibrosis
- 3. Interpret data from phase 3 clinical trials of novel therapies being investigated for the treatment of NASH
- 4. Have increased confidence in assisting primary care providers in linking patients with clinically significant fibrosis to care



Characterizing the Epidemic

Kimberly A. Brown, MD

Chief of the Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Associate Medical Director Henry Ford Hospital Transplant Institute Detroit, MI



The Global Prevalence of NAFLD

Pooled Prevalence of NAFLD: 30.05% (95% CI: 27.88-32.32%)





Figure adapted from Younossi ZM, et al. *Hepatology*. 2023;77(4):1335-1347.

The Global Prevalence of NASH

In 2019, the global prevalence of NASH: 5.27% (SE: 2.63)





And It's Going to Get Worse



- NAFLD in US projected to increase 21% from 2015 to 2030
- NASH in US projected to <u>increase 63%</u> from 2015 to 2030



NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Adapted from Estes C, et al. *Hepatology*. 2018;67:123-133.

What Do We Need to Do?

Start Thinking NAFLD When ...

Mazen Noureddin, MD

Professor of Clinical Medicine

Lynda K. and David M. Underwood Center for Digestive Disorders

Department of Medicine Sherrie & Alan Conover Center for Liver Disease & Transplantation Houston Methodist Research Institute Houston Methodist Hospital Houston, TX



Patients With NAFLD Are Hiding in Plain Sight



Who are they?

- Obesity
- Diabetes
- Metabolic syndrome

Where are they?

- Primary care clinics
- Endocrinology clinics
- Gastroenterology clinics



Why Is It Important to Take Action? NASH Is A Progressive Disease





F, fibrosis stage; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. 1. Diehl AM, Day C. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377:3063-3072. 2. Fan JG, et al. *J Hepatol*. 2017;67(4):862-873.

Why is It Important to Take Action? NASH Is Emerging As the Most Common Cause of Need for Liver Transplantation



EDUCATION

Younossi ZM, et al. *Hepatology*. 2023;77(4):1335-1347.

Why is It Important to Take Action? Extrahepatic Morbidity and Mortality Associated With NAFLD



EDUCATION

Younossi Z, et al. *Hepatology*. 2018;69(6):2672-2682. Cusi K. *Gastroenterology*. 2012;142(4):711-725.e6.

Hepatic and Extrahepatic Factors Affecting Risk of Heart Failure in NAFLD





Mantovani A, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;79(2):180-191.

Identifying and Engaging Patients in NAFLD/NASH-Directed Care

Alina M. Allen, MD

Associate Professor of Medicine Director of NAFLD Clinic Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Mayo Clinic Rochester, MN



Why? The NASH Tsunami in the US



Linking Patients With Clinically Significant Fibrosis To Care: Role of Gastroenterologists in Bridging the Gaps

How? NAFLD Clinical Care Pathway

Primary care, endocrinologists, gastroenterologists, and obesity specialists should screen for NAFLD with advanced fibrosis

1. Metabolic risk factors: central obesity, high triglycerides, low HDL cholesterol, hypertension, prediabetes, or insulin resistance. 2. For patients age >65, use FIB-4 <2.0 as the lower cutoff. Higher cutoff does not change. 3. Other NITs derived from routine laboratories can be used instead of FIB-4. 4. Many online FIB-4 calculators are available such as https://www.mdcalc.com/fibrosis-4-fib-4-index-liver-fibrosis. 5. Ultrasound acceptable if vibration-controlled transient elastography (VCTE, FibroScan[®]) is unavailable. Consider referral to hepatologist for patients with hepatic steatosis on ultrasound who are indeterminate or high risk based on FIB-4. 6. LSM values are for VCTE (FibroScan[®]). Other techniques such as bidimensional shear wave elastography or point shear wave elastography can also be use used to measure LSM. Proprietary commercially available blood NITs may be considered for patients considered indeterminate or high risk based on FIB-4 or APRI, or where LSM unavailable. 7. Eddowes et al. uses 8.2 and 12.1 kPa as cutoffs for LSM using VCTE. Validation of simple (rounded) cutoffs reported by Papatheodoridi et al. **Adapted from: Kanwal F, et al. Gastroenterology. 2021;161(5):1657-1669.**

Step 1: Identify Patients At Risk for Clinically Significant Fibrosis

• T2D

- ≥2 metabolic risk factors
- Incidental finding of hepatic steatosis or elevated serum aminotransferases

Step 2: Conduct Standard History and Blood Tests to Obtain Key Measures

- Screen adults ≥18 years for amount of alcohol use
 - Alcohol intake history: ≥14 drinks/wk for women or ≥21 drinks/wk for men
- Assess aminotransferases, CBC
- Evaluate for presence of other chronic liver and biliary diseases
- Evaluate for liver mass lesions

Step 3: Conduct Noninvasive Testing for Liver Fibrosis Using Simple Scores

Focus on FIB-4

- Risk stratification for clinically significant fibrosis
 - **<1.3:** excludes advanced fibrosis
 - **≥1.3 2.67:** indeterminate
 - >2.67: high risk for advanced fibrosis

You Know the FIB-4 Score: What Next?

- <1.3: low risk, excludes advanced fibrosis
 - No further evaluation needed
 - Repeat FIB-4 in 2-3 years
- ≥1.3 2.67: indeterminate risk
 - Obtain a liver stiffness measurement
 - Refer to hepatologist for liver biopsy, MR elastography or monitoring and re-evaluation in 2-3 years
- >2.67: high risk for advanced fibrosis
 - Refer to hepatologist

Step 4: Obtain a Liver Stiffness Measurement

- LSM <8 kPa: low risk
- LSM 8-12 kPa: intermediate risk
- LSM ≥12 kPa: high risk

Current Standard of Care

Kimberly A. Brown, MD

Chief of the Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Associate Medical Director Henry Ford Hospital Transplant Institute Detroit, MI

Managing the Low-Risk Patient: FIB-4 <1.3

- No further evaluation needed
- Repeat FIB-4 in 2-3 years

	LOW RISK FIB-4 < 1.3 or LSM < 8 kPa or liver biopsy F0-F1	INDETERMINATE RISK FIB-4 1.3 - 2.67 and/or LSM 8 - 12 kPa and liver biopsy not available	HIGH RISK ¹ FIB-4 > 2.67 or LSM > 12 kPa or liver biopsy F2-F4
	Management by PCP, dietician, endocrinologist, cardiologist, others	Management by hepatologist with multidisciplinary team (PCP, dietician, endocrinologist, cardiologist, others)	
Lifestyle intervention ²	Yes	Yes	Yes
Weight loss recommended if overweight or obese ³	Yes May benefit from structured weight loss programs, anti-obesity medications, bariatric surgery	Yes Greater need for structured weight loss programs, anti-obesity medications, bariatric surgery	Yes Strong need for structured weight loss programs, anti-obesity medications, bariatric surgery
Pharmacotherapy for NASH	Not recommended	Yes ^{4, 5, 6}	Yes ^{4, 5, 6, 7}
VD risk reduction ⁸	Yes	Yes	Yes
Diabetes care	Standard of care	Prefer medications with efficacy in NASH (pioglitazone, GLP-1 RA)	Prefer medications with efficacy in NASH (pioglitazone, GLP-1 RA)

Weight Loss Can Work ... But Is Difficult!

¹Vilar-Gomez E, et al. *Gastroenterology*. 2015;149(2):367-78.e5. ²Promrat K, et al. *Hepatology*. 2010;51(1):121-129. ³Harrison SA, et al. *Hepatology*. 2009;49(1):80-86. ⁴Wong VWS, et al. *J Hepatol*. 2013;59(3):536-542. Musso G, et al. *Diabetologia*. 2012;55(4):885-904.

Diet Can Work But Is Difficult!

Low-Carb Diet @ MENDOCINO PRESS for Beginners

Diet Associations With NAFLD in an Ethnically Diverse Population: The Multiethnic Cohort

Controls individually

year, sex, ethnicity

matched to cases on birth

- Nested case-control
- 2,974 NAFLD cases
 - 518 with cirrhosis
 - 2,456 without cirrhosis FFQ
- 29,474 matched controls
- Cases identified using Medicare claims ICD9/10

(g/1,000 kcal/day)	NAFLD No Cirrhosis	NAFLD With Cirrhosis	
Q 1 st vs. 4 th	OR	OR	
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	
Cholesterol			
≤ 75.4	1.00 (ref.)	1.00 (ref.)	
> 121.4	1.09 (0.96-1.23)	<mark>1.52 (1.15-2.01)</mark>	
P-value for trend	0.0889	<mark>0.0018</mark>	
Fiber			
≤ 8.5	1.00 (ref.)	1.00 (ref.)	
> 14.0	<mark>0.86 (0.75-0.98)</mark>	0.75 (0.55-1.02)	
P-value for trend	0.0123	0.1018	

Noureddin M, et al. Hepatology. 2020;71(6):1940-1952.

Bariatric Surgery Can Work

- French single-center study of bariatric surgery in severely obese patients with biopsyconfirmed NASH (N = 180)
- At 5 yr post-surgery, 84% had NASH resolution with no worsening of fibrosis
 - NASH improvement correlated with weight loss

Primary outcome

Lassailly G, et al. Gastroenterology. 2020;159(4):1290-1301.e5.

Cumulative Incidence Estimates for MALO and MACE

Major adverse cardiovascular events

Major adverse liver outcomes

Prova™ EDUCATION

Aminian A, et al. JAMA. 2021;326(20):2031-2042.

There Are No FDA-Approved Drugs for NASH: Use of Off-Label Therapies

Vitamin E (800 IU/day)

- Possible all-cause mortality risk at dose
 > 800 IU/day¹
- Increased risk for hemorrhagic stroke²
 - Also shows reduced ischemic stroke risk
- Increased risk for prostate cancer (HR vs placebo: 1.17; 99% CI: 1.004-1.36; P = 0.008)³

Pioglitazone

- Edema, weight gain (~ 2-3 kg over 2-4 yrs)⁴
- Risk of osteoporosis in women⁵
- Equivocal risk for bladder cancer
 - Increased in some studies⁶
 - No association in most studies^{7,8}

Use of these agents should be personalized for selected patients with histologically confirmed NASH after careful consideration of risk/benefit ratio

Miller ER 3rd, et al. Ann Intern Med. 2005;142(1):37-46.
 Schürks M, et al. BMJ. 2010;341:c5702.
 Klein EA, et al. JAMA. 2011;306(14):1549-1556
 Bril F, et al. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(3):419-430.
 Yau H, et al. Curr Diab Rep. 2013;13(3):329-341.
 Tuccori M, et al. BMJ. 2016;352:i1541.
 Lewis JD, et al. JAMA. 2015;314(3):265-277.
 Davidson MB. J Diabetes Complications. 2016;30(6):981-985.

Once-Weekly Semaglutide for Weight Loss

B Body Weight Change from Baseline by Week, Observed On-Treatment Data

D On-Treatment Data at Wk 68 100 92.4 Semaglutide Placebo (N=1059) (N=499

Participants (%)

Wilding JPH, et al. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(11):989-1002.

Wilding JPH, et al. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2022;24(8):1553-1564.

Wilding JPH, et al. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2022;24(8):1553-1564.

Managing the Indeterminate and High-Risk Patient: FIB-4 ≥1.3 – 2.67 or >2.67

• Refer to hepatologist

	LOW RISK FIB-4 < 1.3 or LSM < 8 kPa or liver biopsy F0-F1	INDETERMINATE RISK FIB-4 1.3 - 2.67 and/or LSM 8 - 12 kPa and liver biopsy not available	HIGH RISK ¹ FIB-4 > 2.67 or LSM > 12 kPa or liver biopsy F2-F4
	Management by PCP, dietician, endocrinologist, cardiologist, others	Management by hepatologist with multidisciplinary team (PCP, dietician, endocrinologist, cardiologist, others)	
Lifestyle intervention ²	Yes	Yes	Yes
Weight loss recommended if overweight or obese ³	Yes May benefit from structured weight loss programs, anti-obesity medications, bariatric surgery	Yes Greater need for structured weight loss programs, anti-obesity medications, bariatric surgery	Yes Strong need for structured weight loss programs, anti-obesity medications, bariatric surgery
Pharmacotherapy for NASH	Not recommended	Yes ^{4, 5, 6}	Yes ^{4, 5, 6, 7}
VD risk reduction ⁸	Yes	Yes	Yes
Diabetes care	Standard of care	Prefer medications with efficacy in NASH (pioglitazone, GLP-1 RA)	Prefer medications with efficacy in NASH (pioglitazone, GLP-1 RA)

Update on the NASH Treatment Pipeline

Mazen Noureddin, MD

Medical Director Houston Research Institute Houston, TX

FDA Efficacy Endpoints for Phase 2b or Phase 3 Trials: Liver Histologic Improvement

NASH Resolution

 Resolution of steatohepatitis on overall histopathologic reading

AND

• No worsening of liver fibrosis

Fibrosis Improvement

- Improvement ≥1 fibrosis stage
 AND
- No worsening of steatohepatitis

Or Both

Resmetirom: Selective Thyroid Hormone Receptor-Beta Agonist

In humans THR-β agonism:

- Lowers LDL-cholesterol
- Lowers triglycerides
- Lowers liver fat, potentially reducing lipotoxicity, NASH

No thyrotoxicosis (THR-α effect)

Resmetirom: Phase 3 MAESTRO-NASH Study Design

- Key Inclusion/Exclusion:
 - Requires 3 metabolic risk factors (metabolic syndrome)
 - FibroScan kPa consistent with F2-F3, CAP≥280
 - NASH on liver biopsy: NAS≥4 with fibrosis stage 1-3
 - \geq 8% liver fat on MRI-PDFF

Resmetirom: Phase 3 MAESTRO-NASH

Achieved NASH resolution

- Achieved fibrosis improvement
- Favorable effect on lipid panel

Liver Biopsy (ITT) at Week 52

NASH Biopsy Component Responses

- For public data release, FDA restricted data on worsening of fibrosis to baseline F1B and F2 biopsies because conversion of F3 to F4 is an outcome in the blinded ongoing 54-month primary endpoint of MAESTRO-NASH
- Resmetirom-treated showed improvement in NAS components and fibrosis and less worsening compared with placebo

Fibrosis Change (BL F1B/F2 ≥ F3 for "worse")

NAS Components

Prova™ EDUCATION

Harrison S, et al. EASL 2023; Vienna, Austria.

Resmetirom for NAFLD: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Phase 3 Trial

- MAESTRO-NAFLD-1 was a 52-week randomized phase 3 trial
 - Primary end point: incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs)
 - No specific serious TEAEs were numerically increased in the resmetirom arms compared to placebo
 - > Diarrhea/nausea occurred more frequently compared to placebo in the first 12 weeks but did not increase after 12 weeks
 - Secondary end points at 80mg, 100mg resmetirom:
 - > LDL-C: -11.1%, -12.6%
 - > ApoB: -15.6%, -18.0%
 - > Triglycerides (over 24 weeks): -15.4%, -20.4%
 - > Hepatic fat (over 16 weeks): -34.9%, -38.6%
 - > Hepatic fat (over 52 weeks): -28.8, 33.9
 - > liver stiffness (over 52 weeks): -1.02, 1.70

Harrison S, et al. Nat Med. 2023;10.1038/s41591-023-02603-1.

Lanifibranor: Pan-PPAR Agonist

Francque S, et al. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2021;18(1):24-39.

Lanafibranor: Phase 2b NATiV-3 Study

Semaglutide (GLP1 Agonist): Efficacy and Safety of Once-Daily SQ

Trial objective: To compare the effect of 3 different doses of semaglutide subcutaneous (s.c.) once daily versus placebo on histological resolution of NASH

Primary endpoint:

Resolution of steatohepatitis and no worsening in liver fibrosis in patients with baseline fibrosis stage 2 or 3

Confirmatory secondary endpoint:

Improvement in liver fibrosis and no worsening in steatohepatitis with baseline fibrosis stage 2 or 3

BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; NAS, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease activity score; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Newsome PN, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(12):1113-1124.

NASH 72-Week Phase 2 Study

BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; NAS, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease activity score; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Newsome PN, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(12):1113-1124.

Take-Home Message

- NITs are available to risk stratify patients with NAFLD and identify advanced fibrosis and fibrotic NASH
- Several options are available today to manage patients with NAFLD through weight loss
- New drugs are in late-phase development be prepared for major changes in how we manage NASH

